

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY ENGINEER FOR PROJECT OF
(i) 2 Laning with paved shoulders from Km 6.250 to Km 10.40 of Silchar-Badarpur section of NH-53 (Length = 4.770 Km) on EPC Basis in the state of Assam.
(ii) Construction of 1000m length Viaduct at Rangpo between Km 51.1 0 to Km 53.90 on Sevoke - Gangtok Road (NH- 31A) in the state of West Bengal/Sikkim.

S.No.	RFP Clause No.	Page No.	Bid Clause Detail	Bidder Queries	Reply to Bid Query
1	Clause-1	3	One main team (key personnel) and three teams of Sub Professional Staff (one for each work).	As per RFP there two sections i.e in Assam & West Bengal/Sikkim but the clause is asking for 3 teams of Sub Professional Staffs for each project. This may be clarified for proper costing.	Key Personnel Team Modified as per Corrigendum 1
2	Clause-1	3	One main team (key personnel)	Location of both the projects is around 800 KM apart which looks difficult for one person to handle the project as a team leader.	As per RFP Document & Corrigendum 1 (New Clause added Data Sheet- Clause 5 (ii) 8)
	Table 1	3	State Assam West Bengal/Sikkim		
3	Table 1	3	State Assam West Bengal/Sikkim	The rate is to be provided using all the Local Taxes but 3 states are involded so kindly suggest how to consider the same with different taxation.	As the two packages are apart by 800 km approx, two offices needs to be set up by the Authority's Engineer one in Assam and one in Sikkim and taxes will be as per respective state.
4	Clause-9	5	In case of J.V. both the firm shall be empanelled with MoRT&H in relevant category.	There are few positions which is for expats. Most of the Indian firm will have to form a JV Partnership to get expats for these positions. For an international firm to satisfy the criteria of empanelment in MoRTH may be difficult. It is requested to relax this criteria and make only Lead Firm to empanel with MoRT&H. In order to increase the level of participation and to enable JV with International companies any one of the JV member Empanelled with the MORT&H in relevant category should be acceptable. This will lead to better technology infusion and will assist in upgrading Indian firms.	As per RFP Document
5	Clause-11, Sub Section-A & B	5-7	Eligibility Criteria	Will the Experience of Subsidiary Company be considered as Experience of Parental Company.	As per RFP Document
	Para (A)	6	Annual Turnover*** Annual turnover (updated average of last 3 years) of the firm from consultancy business should be equal to or more than 2% of Estimated Project Cost.	For an average annual turnover of Rs. 2.39 Cr., it is evaluated for Rs 12.30 crore. This should be project specific and project fee related criteria	

S.No.	RFP Clause No.	Page No.	Bid Clause Detail	Bidder Queries	Reply to Bid Query
6	Clause-5, Sub Section-i	20	Average Annual Turover (last three years) from consultancy business < Rs 12.30 crore: 0 marks Rs 12.30 crore: 1.5 marks Add for additional turnover 0.25 (zero point two five) mark for every above Rs 6.15 crore above Rs 12.30 crore subject to		As Per Corrigendum 1
7	Clause-3.4, Sub Section-ii	12	Any comments or suggestions on the ToR and a description of the methodology (work plan) which the firm proposes to execute the services, illustrated with bar charts of activities by uploading on e-tendering portal.	To prepare the work plan or methodology we need to have all the details. It is requested to provide the drawings and other details of the project	This is to clarify that the same are required at the time of Contract Negotiation
8	Clause 5.2, Sub Section-(i)	16	Permanent staff (those already employed with firm prior to one year from the month during which this Tender Notice is issued) = 5 Marks.	As per RFP, 15% staffs are to be deployed locally. Many firms may not have permanent staffs from the site area and hence they may lose some marks due to the tender condition. Please clarify.	This is to clarify that 15 % of total staff (Including Key Personnel, Sub-Professional staffs and Support staffs) are to be deployed locally.
9	Clause-3.4, Sub Section-ii	12	Any comments or suggestions on the ToR and a description of the methodology (work plan) which the firm proposes to execute the services, illustrated with bar charts of activities by uploading on e-tendering portal.	As per P-12 Tender Document, Consultant has to submit comments or suggestions on the ToR and a description of the methodology (work plan) to execute the services, and also illustrate it with bar charts of activities with bid proposal but on Appendix- B2, B3, AND B7 it is to be submitted at the time of Negotiation. As both the statements are contradictory, please clarify.	This is to clarify that the same are required at the time of Contract Negotiation
	Appendix B-2	34	COMMENT AND SUGGESTIONS OF CONSULTANTS ON THE TERMS OF REFERENCE AND ON SERVICES AND FACILITIES TO BE PROVIDED BY THE EMPLOYER (To be submitted by the Selected Bidder at the time of Contract Negotiations)		
	Appendix B-3	35	APPROACH PAPER ON METHODOLOGY AND WORK PLAN FOR PERFORMING THE ASSIGNMENT (To be submitted by the Selected Bidder at the time of Contract Negotiations)		
	Appendix B-7	41	ACTIVITY (WORKS) SCHEDULE (To be submitted by the Selected Bidder at the time of Contract Negotiations)		
10	Clause-4.2	54	The Authority's Engineer shall review the Quality Assurance Plan submitted by the Contractor and shall convey its comments to the Contractor within a period of 21 (twenty-one) days stating the modifications, if any, required thereto.	As per the Tender it is the responsibility of Quality Engineer to carry out different Quality tests but the position of Quality Engineer is missing from the Tender Document. So kindly Clarify this.	Since the scope of work is small hence the same may be done by Material Engineer and Manning schedule will be as per RFP Document.
	Clause-4.10	55	The Authority's Engineer shall test check at least 20 (twenty) percent of the quantity or number of tests prescribed for each category or type of test for quality control by the Contractor.		

S.No.	RFP Clause No.	Page No.	Bid Clause Detail	Bidder Queries	Reply to Bid Query
11	Clause-4.1	54	During the Construction Period, the Authority's Engineer shall review the Drawings furnished by the Contractor along with supporting data, including the geo-technical and hydrological investigations, characteristics of materials from borrow areas and quarry sites, topographical surveys, and the recommendations of the Safety Consultant	As per the Tender it is the responsibility of Geo-Tech Engineer to carry out different geo-technical and hydrological investigations but the position of Geo-Tech Engineer is missing from the Tender Document. So kindly Clarify this.	Manning schedule as per Corrigendum 1
12	Clause-11.1	58	List of key personnel to be filled by the Consultants shall be as below: Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer Resident Engineer cum Pavement Specialist + Road safety Expert Sr. Contract Specialist Senior Quantity Surveyor Bridge/Structural Engineer	We foresee the need of Sr. Contract Specialist and Senior Quantity Surveyor separately for both section. The same is missing in the tender document. So kindly clarify	Manning schedule as per Corrigendum 1
13		60-61	Key Personnel	As the construction of a viaduct is a specialised job, we feel the requirement of a Launching specialist is necessary for this project. It is requested to consider this position as a Key Personnel	Manning schedule as per Corrigendum 1
14		64-65	BRIDGE & STRUCTURAL ENGINEER 2) Preferential Qualifications (a) Post Graduate Degree in Structural Engineering. (b) Experience as a Bridge Engineer abroad	The qualification requirement is for a structural engineer but the experience required is required of a supervision engineer. The responsibility herein is of supervision of bridge construction; hence the Team Leader should be a Bridge Construction Engineer instead of Bridge Design Engineer. It is requested to reconsider the qualification	Qualification requirements as per RFP Document
		65-66	MATERIAL ENGINEER 2) Preferential Qualifications (b) Abroad experience as Material Engineer in Highway Construction projects	We feel that Material Engineer can be Indian Experience and this clause may be revised. It is difficult to have the INFRACON registration for Expats. It is requested to provide additional 2 weeks time for registering the expats in INFRACON by extending the due date accordingly.	As per RFP Document
15		46-108	CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY ENGINEER FOR PROJECT OF 2 Laning of existing Hunli-Anini Road from (i) Km 21.500 to Km 37.500 (ii) Km 37.500 to Km 53.500 (iii) Km 53.5 to Km 92.5 on EPC basis Under SARDPNE in the state of Arunachal Pradesh	The footer in the pages shows the name of a different project. We presume it is a typo error.	Kindly Read title as subjected above
16	1(a)		Should have minimum experience of preparation of DPR/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6** Laning /Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	The requirement here are set in for selection of DPR consultants and do not match with the Supervision requirements of the Authority Engineer. For Authority Engineer assignment these criteria seem to be irrelevant as the scope of works related to DPR and feasibility stage is very minimal. As far as the review of Design and other aspects is concerned the Authority Engineer experience itself proves his capability. As the review portion of Design	As per RFP Document

S.No.	RFP Clause No.	Page No.	Bid Clause Detail	Bidder Queries	Reply to Bid Query
	1(b)		Should also have prepared DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report for at least one project of similar category of two/four/six** Laning/bridge work of 40% of project length.	itsen proves his capability. As the review portion of Design amounts to less than 10% in value for an Authority Engineer, a sub-consultant or an associate experience of proof checking may be accepted.	As per RFP Document
17	1(a)		Should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6**- Laning /Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited	For a Bridge project the portion emphasizing the supervision of road seems unreasonable. However, supervision of road or rail projects with bridges may be considered along with structural works expertise.	As per RFP Document
	1 (b)		Should also have experience of Project Supervision /Independent Engineer/ Authority' Engineer of at least one project of similar category of two/four/six** laning/bridge work of length equal to 40% of project length		As per RFP Document